

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/669,080	PANZER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

**All Participants:**

 (1) EDMUND H. LEE.

 (2) M. SCALISE.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 May 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

DISCUSSED CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT).

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)